

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO
09/960,413	09/24/2001	Keizo Hirose	33082M102	5643
75	90 07/23/2003			
Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP Beveridge, DeGrandi, Weilacher & Young			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Prop	perty group		BALSIS, SHAY L	
Washington, DC	N.W. (Suite 800), C 20036	800),		PAPER NUMBER
			1744	2
•			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mk-2				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/960,413	HIROSE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shay L Balsis	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 S</u>	eptember 2001					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	In all and a second					
<ul> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or e</li> <li>Application Papers</li> </ul>	lection requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	_					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li></ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) eatent Application (PTO-152)				
. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/960,413

Art Unit: 1744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Figure 5—bristle member bundled at one end

Figure 9—bristle member bundled at both ends.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-7, 12-19 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,413

Art Unit: 1744

A telephone call was made to Michael Makuch on July 15, 2003 to request an oral election to

Page 3

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee

required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Shay L Balsis whose telephone number is 703-305-7275. The examiner can

normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and

703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

slb

July 15, 2003

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

best 7. Warden, In.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700